

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,141	07/21/2003	John A. Corey	63427-0285 5679	
10291 7	7590 04/13/2004		EXAMINER	
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC 39533 WOODWARD AVENUE			JOHNSON, BLAIR M	
SUITE 140	WIND IIV BROD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLOOMFIELD HILLS MI 48304-0610			3634	

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	. 7	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/624,141				
		Examiner				
		Blair M. Johnso		3634		
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cove	r sheet with the cor	respondence address		
Frill - External forms of the second	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE MONTHS (IN THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some reply received by the Office later than three months after the related patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, how n. a reply within the statutory mi eriod will apply and will expire statute, cause the application.	rever, may a reply be timely nimum of thirty (30) days w SIX (6) MONTHS from the	y filed will be considered timely. e mailing date of this communication.		
Status						
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
		This action is non-fir	al.			
3)□						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>15-21</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>15-21</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from conside				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) ob the drawing(s) be held rection is required if the	l in abeyance. See 3 ne drawing(s) is objec	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	o =xammon riote th	attached Office A	Ction of form P 10-152.		
12) a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been reco nents have been reco priority documents ha reau (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application ave been received t(a)).	n No in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform Paper	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/03.) /08) 5) 🔲	Interview Summary (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Pate Other:	TO-413) · · ent Application (PTO-152)		

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not adequately indicate that the claimed invention is to the structure of the single cell window covering. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. Again, the title does not reflect that the recited invention is to the structure of a window covering.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the term "type" renders the meaning of "single-cell column" indefinite. In line 5, the recitation of "the structure" is ambiguous since "the structure" referred to, in line 3, is part of a "starting product" and not the actual device being claimed. In line 7, "columns" (plural) is indefinite over the initial recitation of "A single-cell column" (singular). In the last paragraph, "selected ones" (plural) of internal ligaments are initially recited followed by "eliminating at least one of the individual cells". This is indefinite since the severing of a plurality of ligaments would establish more than "one", which is encompassed by "at least one", cell. Lastly, the phrase "are severed", used throughout the claims, may be interpreted more as a method step than a structural limitation, which would be improper in the context of these article claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,141

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Colson et al '750.

See Figs. 32A and 32B. The topmost "internal" ligaments are severed at lines 902,904, while others are left intact.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16-21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0526. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3634

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner

BMJ 4/8/04